Preface

This volume presents a modern edition of the manuscript with the title "Imitazione del Terzo Libro dèi Partimenti del Sig." D: Fedele Fenaroli L'Anno 1809 – Proprietà di Ant.° Farinelli, che acquistò nel Reale Conservatorio della Pietà de Turchini in Napoli" (henceforth called *The Parma Manuscript*). At its current stage of research, *The Parma Manuscript* is not only the earliest source with *intavolature* (i.e. fully written-out keyboard realizations) from Fenaroli's book on *moti del basso* (i.e. predominantly sequential bass motions) but also the only one from Fenaroli's lifetime. As the title indicates, *The Parma Manuscript* dates from 1809 and was written at the *Conservatorio della Pietà de Turchini* in Naples. Since Fedele Fenaroli (1730–1818) was then still working at that institute, we may assume a clear connection between this manuscript and his pedagogical activities, making it an important primary source for the realization of his partimenti. The possibility that Antonio Farinelli was the student who made this copy when studying with Fenaroli, is unlikely.

One example that demonstrates this unlikelihood is the caption "Proprietà di Ant." Farinelli, che acquistò nel Reale Conservatorio della Pietà de Turchini in Napoli" was written in different handwriting than the actual title. Moreover, the very content of this caption suggests that Farinelli was actually the person who later purchased the manuscript, as the words *Proprietà* (property) and *acquistò* (purchased) indicate.⁴

The Parma Manuscript contains 24 intavolature of the 49 partimenti from Fenaroli's third book, the book devoted to the moti del basso to conclude his basic partimento course.⁵ At this point in their partimento training, the students must also have received a solid training in decoratio (i.e. the transformation of a rather vertical realization with chords into a horizontally elaborated and eloquent musical discourse). For instance, five of the 49 partimenti were written down as partimenti diminuiti (i.e. partimenti with an incipit of high musical quality for the realization in the right hand).⁶ Moreover, the accomplished approach of the partimenti in this book is beautifully demonstrated in The Parma Manuscript. Its intavolature show a high level of sophistication and suggest that Fenaroli's students had to acquire a wide range of techniques and textures, even within one partimento, in order to best prepare them for their professional careers

¹ The library call number of this manuscript is I-PAc F. MS. 612.d.

We may assume that realizations of Fenaroli's partimenti were not only circulated amongst his students, they were also important assets on how to deal with Fenaroli's pedagogical output for the next generations. As a matter of fact, the 24 realizations of *The Parma Manuscript* also occur, as good as literally and in the same order, in a manuscript compiled in 1832–1833, used by Angelo Catelani (1811–1866) as a student of Nicolò Zingarelli (1752–1837) and preserved now at the *Biblioteca Estense Universitaria* in Modena under the call number I-MOe Mus. F.370. They are also part of *Metodo Nuovamente Riformato De' Partimenti del Maestro Fedele Fenaroli* (Milano: Ricordi, 1850) edited by Emmanuele Guarnaccia (1780–1856), although this publication follows the order of partimenti as they appear in Emmanuele Imbimbo's *Partimenti Ossia Basso Numerato*, *Opera Completa Di Fedele Fenaroli* (Paris: Carli, 1813). For more information on Fenaroli's pedagogical output see Ewald Demeyere, "On Fedele Fenaroli's Pedagogy: An Update," in: *Eighteenth-Century Music* 15/2 (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2018), 207–29.

The Conservatorio della Pietà de Turchini was renamed Real Collegio di Musica di San Sebastiano in December 1808 after it was merged with the Conservatorio di Santa Maria di Loreto a Porta Capuana.

The more common term we find on the title page of students' manuscripts, if any, is per/ad uso di (me) (for my own use), followed by the student's name.

It is important to note that Fenaroli maintained a progressive partimento method consisting of four books almost throughout his entire teaching career. A student had to work through his first three books successively. (Book 1 is designed to teach pupils the rule of the octave and the cadences. Book 2 deals with onbeat dissonances.) When these books had been satisfactorily assimilated, the student could proceed with book 4, which Fenaroli intended to be his advanced partimento course. For more information on Fenaroli's pedagogical output see Demeyere, "On Fedele Fenaroli's Pedagogy: An Update."

The *diminuiti* could be seen as Fenaroli's tribute to his own maestro Francesco Durante (1684-1755).

as composers. The most obvious techniques and textures are bariolage,⁷ broken octaves, broken triads, complementary rhythm, compound melody, contrapuntal textures, diminutions and scalar passages, elaboration of a pedal note, imitations, ornaments (such as *appoggiature* and trills), parallel sixths in the right hand, parallel thirds between the bass and an upper voice, parallel thirds in the left hand, parallel thirds in the right hand, (repeated) chords in the left hand, two (polyphonic) voices in the left hand, and textural octave doublings in the right hand.

As to be expected in realizations conceived for keyboard, these works are primarily written in two- or three-part settings, ensuring linear flexibility and variation as well as idiomatic playing, only occasionally using four- and five-part chords.

Ewald Demeyere

There is a noticeable similarity between the *intavolatura* of Fenaroli's partimento Gj1374, which is not notated in any source I know as a *diminuito*, and Durante's partimento diminuito Gj7. Both pieces are in the key of A major, start with the same *moto del basso* – a Romanesca or a bass that descends a fourth and ascends a second – and use the same bariolage technique in the right hand. Whereas this observation does seem to illustrate Durante's influence on Fenaroli, the bariolage technique is not unique to the Durante-Fenaroli lineage. For example, we also find this texture in a preliminary exercise above a Romanesca bass as well, albeit in C major, by maestro Nicola Sala (1713–1801), himself a student of Leonardo Leo (1694–1744). For more information see Peter van Tour, *The 189 Partimenti of Nicola Sala: Complete Edition with Critical Commentary*, Volume 1, Nos. 1–100 (Uppsala: Uppsala Universitet, 2017).

Editorial Principles

Accidentals

Redundant accidentals have been tacitly omitted. Editorial accidentals have been added between round brackets.

Beams

Beams have been transcribed as notated in *The Parma Manuscript*, except in the case of arbitrary deviations, which have been tacitly adapted.

Clefs

C-clefs have been changed into either G- or F-clefs in order to facilitate the reading of this edition for contemporary keyboard players.

Fermate

The final chord or rest of most of the *intavolature* in *The Parma Manuscript* is marked with a fermata in both hands. When such a fermata is lacking, it has been added to this edition with a mention in the critical commentary.

Key Signatures

The original key signatures have been preserved. (According to modern standards, one accidental is occasionally lacking from the key signatures in *The Parma Manuscript*.)

Notes between Round Brackets

Notes that have been modified are put between round brackets. In such cases, a mention with the original note in *The Parma Manuscript* has been made in the critical commentary.

Notes with Accidental between Round Brackets

Accidentals that have been modified are put between round brackets, as are the notes they are in front of. In such cases, the original note in *The Parma Manuscript* is reported in the critical commentary.

Notes with Square Brackets

Notes that have been added are put between square brackets.

Rests between Square Brackets

Rests that have been added are put between square brackets.

Rhythms

Rhythms of notes and rests that have been modified have been mentioned in the critical commentary.

Shorthands

Repetition shorthands and tremolos have always been written out.

Stems

The direction of the stems has been modernized. Stems that are lacking from *The Parma Manuscript* have been tacitly added.

Ties

Editorial ties appear dashed in this edition.

Critical Commentary

Abbreviation A, T LH, RH MV, UV Pitch Names c1 refers to "n	Alto, Tenor Left Hand, I Middle Voice	Right Hand e, Upper Voice elmholtz pitch notation)	Intavolatura No. m. 9/5 m. 9/6 m. 9/7 m. 12/1 m. 17/7 m. 38/5	UV UV UV MV MV LH	f² g² g² lacking b\$ b\$
			m. 31	· ·	fermatas lacking
Intavolatura m. 20/2-21/11		another scribe tied both f¹s	Intavolatura No.	7	rermatas facking
m. 21/2-22/1	T	another scribe tied both e ^{b1} s			at to alsing
m. 32	•	another scribe added the following	m. 5/1 m. 10/1	RH	e¹ lacking
111. 32		figures to the four notes of the bass:	·	LH	b lacking
		3/8, 3/5, 3/6, and 6/3	m. 11/1	RH	g² lacking
m. 74	T	bb	m. 28/3	LH	eighth note e (followed by
m. 74	1			T TT	an eighth note rest)
111. /4		fermatas lacking	m. 37/2	LH	c¹ lacking
lata valati va	N ₂ 2		m. 46		fermatas lacking
Intavolatura m. 20/4	NO. Z	g^2	Intavolatura No.	0	
m. 27/7 and 1		a			
iii. 2/// uiid 1	1211	u	m. 7/2	RH	eb²
Intavolatura	N ₂ 2		m. 7/4	RH RH	eb²
m. 29	NO. 3	lacking	m. 7/6		eb²
m. 34/2	MV	lacking	m. 7/8	RH	eb²
m. 34/2 m. 36/14	RH	b ¹	m. 7/10	RH	eb²
111. 30/14	KII	U	m. 7/12	RH	eb²
Intavolatura	No. 4		m. 41		fermatas lacking
m. 8/10		Λ	Intavolatura No.	0	
m. 12/12	LH RH	A			a^1 - e^2
-11. 12/12	КП	e#²	m. 3/1	RH	
	_		m. 37/1	MV MV	half note c#2 lacking
"M. 21/1" means measure 21, the first note in this measure.			m. 48/1	IVI V	g^{i}

⁸

m. 48/1	LH	e	m. 50/3	LH	f [‡]	
			m. 54	LH	D	
Intavolatura Nr			m. 54		fermatas lacking	
m. 3/1	MV	g^{i}				
m. 21/6	RH	$e^{1}/g^{1}/b^{1}$	Intavolatura Nr. 13 (12)			
m. 24/6	RH	$a \#^{1}/d^{2}/f \#^{2}$	* Was first indicated "13," yet the "3" has been corrected into "2"			
Intavolatura Nr	11		Intavolatura Nr. 14 (13)			
m. 1/1	RH	middle note in chord e ^{j1}	m. 8/1	LH	notated in two voices	
m. 8	RH	eb1/f/bb1/db2	m. 11/2	LH	notated in two voices	
	RH	notated in two voices	m. 12	LH	notated in two voices	
m. 20	UV	whole note	m. 13/1	LH	notated in two voices	
m. 24/2 m. 26/2	UV	dotted whole note	m. 29/1	RH and LH	quarter note (without dot)	
m. 46	RH	notated in two voices	m. 31/1	LH	notated in two voices	
m. 65	A	half note rest lacking	111. 51/1	1311	(middle note with upper stem)	
•	A	half note rest lacking	m. 33/1	LH	notated in two voices	
m. 67	A	half note rest lacking	111. 55/ 1	1311	(middle note with upper stem)	
m. 69	л RH	ab ¹	m. 37/2	LH	notated in two voices	
m. 71			m. 38	LH	notated in two voices	
m. 79	A	half note rest lacking	m. 39	LH	notated in two voices	
m. 81	A	half note rest lacking	m. 50	1211	fermatas lacking	
m. 83	A	half note rest lacking	111. 50		Termacas facking	
m. 91	RH	notated in two voices	Intavolatura Nr. 15 (14)			
latava latvua Nu	10 /11)		m. 12/9	RH	b^{b^1}	
Intavolatura Nr. 12 (11) Was first indicated "12," yet the "2" has been corrected into "1"			m. 16/5-8	RH	thirty-second notes	
was mist mulcated	12, yet the 2	has been corrected into 1	m. 22/3	RH	a ^½	
m. 1/2-6	RH	another scribe changed what origi-	m. 26/8	RH	eb1/bb1	
111. 1/2-0	KH	nally were all sixteenth notes into	111. 20/0	KH	C / 6	
		thirty- second notes	Intavolatura Nr. 1	16 (15)		
m. 2/2-6	RH	sixteenth notes	m. 5/9	LH	d\tau	
m. 5/1-6	LH	eighth notes	m. 12	MV	lacking	
m. 6/1-6	LH	eighth notes		LH	A	
m. 8/1-6	LH LH	eighth notes	m. 12/9	RH	$f^{2} = f^{2} - df^{2} - cf^{2}$	
	MV		m. 17/5-8	KH		
m. 17	UV	quarter note (without dot) e²-d#²-e²	m. 25		fermatas lacking	
m. 17/1-3	UV	е				

Intavolatura No. 17 (16)			m. 14/13	UV	C #2
m. 6/1	RH	eighth note rest after note	m. 25/5	LH	quarter note (without dot)
m. 25/1	RH	eighth note rest after note	m. 29/7	RH	notated in two voices
m. 38/4	LH	B			
m. 38/5	LH	d	Intavolatura N	No. 22 (21)	
m. 49/1	RH	$f\sharp^2$	m. 21/1-4	LH	four eighth notes c-c¹-d¹-d
111: 49/1	KII	11	m. 40	LH	fermata lacking on final rest
Intavolatura N	o. 18 (17)		·		C
m. 11	ĹH	notated in one voice	Intavolatura N	No. 23 (22)	
m. 12	LH	notated in one voice	m. 5/1	RH	sixteenth note (without dot)
m. 17	LH	notated in one voice			
m. 20/2	RH	notated in two voices	Intavolatura N	No. 24 (23)	
m. 24/4	RH	$a^1/d^2/f\sharp^2$	m. 6/1	LH	another scribe corrected the whole
m. 27/2	LH	notated in one voice			note c^1 into a quarter note c^1/e^{b^1}
m. 28/2	LH	notated in one voice			followed by a dotted half note c1
m. 29/2	LH	notated in one voice	m. 7/1	LH	another scribe corrected the half
m. 34/2	LH	$d^{1}-f^{1}$; notated in one voice			note b(b) and quarter note d1 into a
m. 35/2	LH	cb1-e1; notated in one voice			unison quarter note b(b)
m. 36/2	LH	notated in one voice	m. 20/1	RH	notated in one voice
			m. 49/1	RH	notated in two voices
Intavolatura N	o. 19 (18)		m. 50/1	RH	notated in two voices
m. 17/1	MV	Ь			
m. 18/6	UV	b∮¹			
m. 25/1	LH	eb			
m. 46/3	RH	b^{\flat_1}			
	00 (40)				
Intavolatura N	• •	Cu. / . / 1.			
m. 14/1	RH	$f^{1/a^1/d^2}$			
m. 45/1-3	LH	b-a-g			
Intavolatura N	o. 21 (20)				
m. 1/3	RH	d^2			
m. 7	RH	notated in two voices			
m. 8/1-4	RH	notated in two voices			
,		1			

m. 9/5

RH

 $g^{\scriptscriptstyle 1}/g^{\scriptscriptstyle 2}$

The Parma Manuscript









Index

The Parma Manuscript (Nos. 1–24)





